

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation, since they control so many stations. Where is the anti-Bush documentary that ought to be played in the same time slot?

Doesn't Sinclair Broadcasting use the public airwaves free of charge? If so, isn't it obligated by law to serve the public interest? How can a one-sided display be in that public interest?

Better than playing all these ANTI candidate films, a suggestion is to air a more balanced program (ie. the documentary aired on pbs Frontline, called Choices; where the two main candidates were followed chronologically).

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. Thank you.